



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/440,624	11/16/1999	YUTAKA MAEDA	0879-0244P	3184
7590 12/09/2005			EXAMINER	
BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH LLP			JONES, HEATHER R	
P O BOX 747 FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		2616	

DATE MAILED: 12/09/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/440,624	MAEDA, YUTAKA					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Heather R. Jones	2616					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 No.	ovember 2005.						
·	· —						
· ·	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
closed in accordance with the practice under £	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	03 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1.2 and 16-26 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
7) Claim(s) 1,2 and 16-26 is/are rejected.	6) Claim(s) 1.2 and 16-26 is/are rejected.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner		-d to b the F					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>16 November 1999</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	* · ·	• •					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) ⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ⊠ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).					
1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	ity documents have been receive	ed in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receive	d.					
Attachment(s)	_						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		atent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 09/440,624 Page 2

Art Unit: 2616

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

- 1. The affidavit filed on November 16, 2005 under 37 CFR 1.131 has been considered but is ineffective to overcome the Lee reference (U.S. Patent 6,614,477).
- 2. The evidence submitted is insufficient to establish diligence from a date prior to the date of reduction to practice of the Lee reference to either a constructive reduction to practice or an actual reduction to practice of Applicant. There is a lack of factual evidence showing diligence between dates July 30, 1998 and November 18, 1998. We know that on July 30, 1998 that the Applicant contacted the Attorney to prepare a patent specification with a deadline of September 7, 1998. We also know that on November 18, 1998 that the Applicant contacted the Attorney to file an application for a patent to the Patent Office. The Affidavit states that between July 30, 1998 and November 18, 1998 that the Applicant communicated with the Attorney on several occasions to discuss the invention and application, but there is no factual evidence to back that statement. There is also no evidence showing if the Attorney met the specification deadline of September 7, 1998 and when the Applicant actually received the specification for review.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Application/Control Number: 09/440,624 Page 3

Art Unit: 2616

4. Claims 1, 2, and 16-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lee et al. (U.S. Patent 6,614,477) and in view of Applicant's admitted prior art.

Regarding claim 1, Lee et al. discloses an electronic camera, comprising: a display (it is implicit that the composite video signal will inherently go to a display); a detection device, which detects the brightness of the object (col. 4, line 67 - col. 5, line 3: it is inherent that a detection device is detecting the brightness); an imaging device (42) which captures the sequence of images and outputs the image signals for the sequence of images at a rate defined by an imaging cycle for the imaging device, the imaging cycle defining a maximum exposure period for the imaging device for the sequence of images (for example, if the maximum imaging cycle is 1/60 then the maximum exposure period would be defined as 1/60 as well; col. 2, lines 12-22; col. 3, lines 25-63); a changing device (55: variable frame rate image capture controller) which automatically changes the imaging cycle of the imaging device according to the brightness of the object, thereby changing the maximum exposure period for the imaging device (col. 4, line 53 - col. 5, line 3; col. 5, lines 43-45); and that the image signals in the image memory are read out with a predetermined interval and outputted to the display (col. 2, lines 44-51: the image data is read out at the same rate that the image signal is captured, therefore the rate at which the image data is read out is a predetermined interval). Lee et al. also discloses a signal processor (54) for image processing. Furthermore, Official Notice is taken that

Art Unit: 2616

the signal processor includes an image memory for temporarily storing the image signals sequentially outputted from the imaging device. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have used a signal processor to temporarily store the image signals outputted by the imaging device into a buffer before outputting to the display in order to accommodate the timing differences between the signal processor and the display). However, Lee et al. fails to disclose a controller which controls the display to display the sequence of images according to the image signals while the imaging device is capturing subsequent images, such that the display shows a live image of the captured sequence of images to enable determination of an image-capturing angle of view.

Referring to the admitted prior art, the admitted prior art teaches a display (LCD), and an electronic camera that is capable of displaying a live image on the LCD so that the LCD can be used as a viewfinder to determine the image-capturing angle of view (page 1, lines 9-14). The controller that controls the display to display the image according to the image signals while the imaging device is capturing the live image is inherently taught.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the practice of changing the imaging cycle taught by Lee et al. with the practice of displaying a live view taught by the admitted prior art to make an apparatus wherein the imaging device continually outputs an image signal to the display in the cycle and wherein the cycle may be

Application/Control Number: 09/440,624

Art Unit: 2616

changed in order to detect how the image quality of a desired scene changes according to the varying exposure times and imaging cycles.

Regarding claim 2, Lee et al. in view of the admitted prior art discloses all subject matter as discussed with respect to claim 1 as well as the changing device is manually or automatically operated to change the cycle of the imaging device (Lee et al.: col. 5, lines 43-45).

Regarding claim **16**, Lee et al. in view of the admitted prior art discloses all the limitations as previously discussed with respect to claim 1 as well as disclosing that the electronic camera further comprises a signal processor for processing and temporarily storing the image signals outputted by the imaging device before outputting to the display (see claim 1 above).

Regarding claim 17, Lee et al. in view of the admitted prior art discloses all the subject matter as discussed with claim 1, except that the electronic camera further comprises a memory card for storing select images outputted by the imaging device. Official Notice is taken that a memory card can be used to store select images outputted by the imaging device.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have used a memory card to store select images outputted by the imaging device in order save the images most desired by the user.

Regarding claim 18, Lee et al. in view of the admitted prior art discloses all subject matter as discussed with respect to claim 1 as well as disclosing that the

rate is a video rate (col. 2, lines 12-14), and the changing device (55) changes the video rate to enable the imaging device to output brighter images to the display (it is implicit that the longer the longer exposure period is the brighter the image will be, which in turn the display will inherently display a brighter image when the video rate is longer because the longer the video rate is the longer the exposure period can be).

Regarding claim **19**, Lee et al. in view of the admitted prior art discloses all subject matter as discussed with respect to claim 1 as well as disclosing the imaging device is a charge coupled device (CCD) (Lee et al.: reference character "42") that captures the sequence of images.

Regarding claims **20-26**, these are method claims corresponding to the apparatus claims 1, 2, and 16-19. Therefore, claims 20-26 are analyzed and rejected as previously discussed with respect to claims 1, 2, and 16-19.

Conclusion

- 5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
 - a. Takaiwa (U.S. Patent 4,894,723) discloses an image sensing apparatus wherein when the object image picked up by the image pickup element as the image pickup means is displayed in, for example, the electronic view finder as the display means through the supply means, the drive speed of the image

Page 7

pickup element is set to an adjusted value by the control means in accordance with the brightness of the object measured by the light measuring means.

- b. Gfeller et al. (U.S. Patent 4,460,263) discloses an apparatus wherein in the operating mode "external measurement" of the brightness also in the "internal measurement" mode, any parameter governing the exposure can be varied prior to actuating the shutter release, during which the exposure time t is instantly recalculated automatically by the exposure computer and the resulting new exposure time is immediately indicated on the display.
- 6. All claims are drawn to the same invention claimed in the application prior to the entry of the submission under 37 CFR 1.114 and could have been finally rejected on the grounds and art of record in the next Office action if they had been entered in the application prior to entry under 37 CFR 1.114. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL** even though it is a first action after the filing of a request for continued examination and the submission under 37 CFR 1.114. See MPEP § 706.07(b).

 Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Application/Control Number: 09/440,624

Art Unit: 2616

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Heather R. Jones whose telephone number is 571-272-

7368. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. - Thurs.: 7:00 am - 4:30 pm, and

every other Fri.: 7:00 am - 3:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, James Groody can be reached on 571-272-7950. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Heather R Jones Examiner

Art Unit 2616

HRJ November 29, 2005

Supervisory Patent Examine

Art Unit 262 26(6

Page 8